# Procedure for determining the activity concentrations of iron-55 and nickel-63 in wastewater

## H-Fe-55/Ni-63-AWASS-01

Authors:

S. Hofmann M. Beyermann C. Lucks

Federal coordinating office for drinking water, groundwater, wastewater, sludge, waste, wastewater of nuclear power plants (Leitstelle für Trinkwasser, Grundwasser, Abwasser, Klärschlamm, Abfälle und Abwasser aus kerntechnischen Anlagen )

ISSN 1865-8725

Version July 2023

## Procedure for determining the activity concentrations of iron-55 and nickel-63 in wastewater

## 1 Scope

This procedure is used to determine the activity concentrations of iron-55 (Fe-55) and nickel-63 (Ni-63) in wastewater samples from nuclear facilities and installations by liquid scintillation counting (LSC) in accordance with KTA Safety Standard 1504 [1]. The iron content in the sample must be less than 20 mg·l<sup>-1</sup> and its nickel content less than 10 mg·l<sup>-1</sup>. Other radioisotopes of iron and nickel must be taken into account when calculating the Fe-55 and Ni-63 activity concentrations, respectively. When using a sample volume of 0,25 litres, the detection limits are about 1 Bq·l<sup>-1</sup> for Fe-55 and about 0,5 Bq·l<sup>-1</sup> for Ni-63 and are thus below the required detection limits according to KTA Safety Standard 1504 [1].

Other aqueous samples may also be analysed using this procedure.

## 2 Sampling

With regard to representative sampling and addition of the inactive iron and nickel carriers, it is referred to the Procedure H- $\gamma$ -SPEKT-AWASS 01.

A sample volume of one litre is usually taken. The water sample is acidified with about 10 ml nitric acid (14 mol·l<sup>-1</sup>) per litre to a pH value of 1 for stabilisation.

## 3 Analysis

## 3.1 Principle of the procedure

In this extraction chromatographic procedure, a sample volume of about 0,6 litres is required for the double determination of the activity concentrations of Fe-55 and Ni-63.

Iron and nickel are enriched by hydroxide precipitation from the sample solution. The dissolved hydroxide precipitate is then applied to a carrier (TRU-Resin) loaded with octyl(phenyl)-N,N-diisobutyl-carbamoyl-methyl-phosphine oxide in tri-n-butyl-phosphate (CMPO/TBP) to separate iron [2, 3, 4]. The rinse and the first wash solution are combined and used for nickel determination; a second wash solution contains interfering lanthanides (Ln) and actinides (An) and is discarded. Iron is then eluted with dilute hydrochloric acid.

ISSN 1865-8725

Procedures' Manual for monitoring of radioactive substances in the environment and of external radiation (Messanleitungen für die "Überwachung radioaktiver Stoffe in der Umwelt und externer Strahlung")

#### Note:

If high concentrations of alpha emitters such as uranium isotopes are present, iron is eluted with 10 ml nitric acid (1 mol·l<sup>-1</sup>) in order to safely retain the alpha emitters. However, this reduces the detection efficiency of the liquid scintillation counting.

The nickel fraction is again subjected to hydroxide precipitation. The dissolved hydroxide precipitate is placed on a carrier (NI-Resin) loaded with butanedioxime-(2,3) [older designation: dimethylglyoxime, DMG] [5]. The rinse and the first wash solution are discarded. Nickel is washed from the column with dilute nitric acid.

The contents as well as the chemical yields of iron and nickel are determined, for example, by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) or flame atomic absorption spectrometry (AAS). The activity of radioactive iron and nickel isotopes is measured using LSC [6].

#### Note:

The nuclide-specific activity determination of the radioactive iron and nickel isotopes with an LSC is only possible via spectrum deconvolution. Since the considered activity concentrations of Fe-55 and Ni-63 dominate over those of other radioactive iron and nickel isotopes, spectrum deconvolution is rarely necessary. If the presence of Fe-59 cannot be excluded, a gamma spectrometric analysis is recommended (see Section 4.1).

The procedure is shown schematically in Figure 1.



#### Fig. 1: Scheme of the extraction chromatographic separation process

#### ISSN 1865-8725

## 3.2 Sample preparation

## 3.2.1 Determination of iron and nickel in the entire water sample

## **3.2.1.1** The entire water sample is homogenised by intensive mixing.

#### Note:

Wet digestion of the entire water sample is recommended for water samples with a high suspended solids content or sedimented components. The procedure corresponds to Steps 3.2.2.1 and 3.2.2.2. The prepared total sample is taken up with approx. 10 ml of concentrated hydrochloric acid (10 mol·l<sup>-1</sup>) and the solution is then made up to the original sample volume with deionised water.

**3.2.1.2** An aliquot of approx. 10 ml is taken and filtered through a syringe filter.

**3.2.1.3** The iron and nickel contents in the filtrate are determined by AAS or ICP-OES. If the iron or nickel concentrations are below 4 mg $\cdot$ l<sup>-1</sup>, iron or nickel carrier is added to achieve a concentration of approx. 4 mg $\cdot$ l<sup>-1</sup>.

## 3.2.2 Determination of iron and nickel in the analysis solution

**3.2.2.1** From the homogenized water sample, an aliquot of 260 ml is transferred for analysis in a 400-ml-beaker and evaporated on a sand bath to dryness.

**3.2.2.2** The residue is dissolved by adding 10 ml of concentrated nitric acid (14 mol·l<sup>-1</sup>) and 5 ml of hydrogen peroxide solution (9 mol·l<sup>-1</sup>). The solution is slowly evaporated to dryness. This step is repeated until all organic components are decomposed.

**3.2.2.3** Afterwards, the residue is dissolved by adding approx. 5 ml concentrated hydrochloric acid (8 mol·l<sup>-1</sup>) while stirring and heating.

**3.2.2.4** After cooling and, if necessary, filtering, the clear solution is filled up to the initial volume of 260 ml with deionised water.

**3.2.2.5** To determine the iron and nickel contents before the radiochemical separation,  $c_{e,0}$ , 10 ml of the solution are transferred to a 50 ml volumetric flask. The volumetric flask is filled up to the mark with deionised water.

**3.2.2.6** The concentrations of iron and nickel are determined by AAS or ICP-OES.

## 3.3 Radiochemical separation

## 3.3.1 Enrichment of iron and nickel

**3.3.1.1** The remaining solution from step 3.2.2.3 (250 ml) is mixed with a few drops of a hydrogen peroxide solution (9 mol·l<sup>-1</sup>) to oxidise  $Fe^{2+}$  to  $Fe^{3+}$ . The solution is then evaporated to a volume of approx. 200 ml.

**3.3.1.2** The iron and nickel hydroxides are completely precipitated in the heat as a voluminous precipitate by adding 10 ml to 15 ml potassium hydroxide solution  $(10 \text{ mol} \cdot l^{-1})$ .

Procedures' Manual for monitoring of radioactive substances in the environment and of external radiation (Messanleitungen für die "Überwachung radioaktiver Stoffe in der Umwelt und externer Strahlung")

#### Note:

The alkalinity of the solution is checked by using pH paper. If necessary, further potassium hydroxide solution (10 mol $\cdot$ l<sup>-1</sup>) is added.

**3.3.1.3** The precipitate is collected on a white band filter using a fast filtration funnel, the filtrate in a 400-ml-beaker.

**3.3.1.4** The beaker which contained the precipitate, is washed by adding a few millilitres deionised water. The wash solution is transferred to filter with the precipitate (Step 3.3.1.3). The collected filtrates are discarded.

**3.3.1.5** The hydroxide precipitate on the filter is dissolved by adding 20 ml to 30 ml hydrochloric acid (8 mol·l<sup>-1</sup>). The filtrate is collected in a 50-ml-beaker.

**3.3.1.6** The filter is rinsed with a little hydrochloric acid (8 mol $\cdot$ l<sup>-1</sup>). The rinsing solution is also collected in the beaker from Step 3.3.1.5.

## 3.3.2 Separation of iron

**3.3.2.1** The TRU-column used for iron separation is conditioned with 5 ml hydrochloric acid (8 mol·l<sup>-1</sup>).

**3.3.2.2** The solution in the beaker from Step 3.3.1.6 is added to the conditioned TRU-column. The rinsing solution is collected in another beaker.

#### Note:

Chloridoferrate ions ([FeCl<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup>) retained on the TRU-column form a broad yellow band (see Figure 2).



Fig. 2: Yellow band of chloridoferrate ions on the TRU-column

**3.3.2.3** The beaker from Step 3.3.1.6 is washed twice with 5 ml hydrochloric acid  $(8 \text{ mol} \cdot l^{-1})$  and the wash solutions are also added to the column. The wash solutions are collected in the beaker from Step 3.2.2.2. These eluates are used for the Ni-63 determination according to Section 3.3.3.

ISSN 1865-8725

Procedures' Manual for monitoring of radioactive substances in the environment and of external radiation (Messanleitungen für die "Überwachung radioaktiver Stoffe in der Umwelt und externer Strahlung")

**3.3.2.4** If interfering trivalent lanthanides and actinides such as americium cannot be excluded, these are washed off the column with additional 20 ml hydrochloric acid  $(4 \text{ mol} \cdot l^{-1})$ . The wash solution is discarded.

**3.3.2.5** If yellow colouration only occurs in the upper third of the column, 0,5 ml of hydrochloric acid  $(0,2 \text{ mol} \cdot l^{-1})$  are added to the column. The eluate is discarded.

**3.3.2.6** Fe<sup>3+</sup> is then eluted from the TRU-column with 10 ml hydrochloric acid  $(0,2 \text{ mol} \cdot l^{-1})$ .

#### Note:

For concentrations of alpha emitters (see Section 4.4) greater than 1  $Bq \cdot l^{-1}$  elution should be carried out with 10 ml nitric acid (1 mol·l<sup>-1</sup>) instead of 10 ml hydrochloric acid (0,2 mol·l<sup>-1</sup>) in order to retain the alpha emitters on the TRU column. The disadvantage of this procedure is that LSC quenching is increased.

**3.3.2.7** To determine the chemical yield of iron, 1 ml of the eluate is pipetted into a 50 ml volumetric flask. After filling up to the mark with deionised water, the iron concentration is determined.

**3.3.2.8** Transfer 5 ml of the eluate to a 20 ml polyethylene counting vial and decolourise by adding 100  $\mu$ l to 200  $\mu$ l caesium fluoride solution (6,6 mol·l<sup>-1</sup>). Subsequently, 15 ml of scintillation cocktail is added. The counting source is homogenised by shaking.

## 3.3.3 Separation of nickel

**3.3.3.1** The solution from Step 3.3.2.3 is concentrated on the heating stirrer to a volume of approximately 20 ml.

**3.3.3.2** After cooling the solution, nickel hydroxide is completely precipitated as a voluminous precipitate by adding 10 ml to 15 ml potassium hydroxide solution  $(10 \text{ mol} \cdot l^{-1})$ .

#### Note:

The alkalinity of the solution is checked using pH paper. If necessary, potassium hydroxide solution (10 mol $\cdot$ l<sup>-1</sup>) is added. The solution can heat up considerably.

**3.3.3.3** The warm solution is filtered through a white band filter in a fast filter funnel. The beaker is rinsed with up to 20 ml of deionised water. The rinsing solution is transferred to filter with the precipitate. The filtrate is discarded.

**3.3.3.4** The white band filter is transferred to a 100 ml beaker. The hydroxide precipitate in the filter is dissolved with 15 ml to 20 ml hydrochloric acid (1,2 mol·l<sup>-11</sup>). The filter is then removed while rinsing carefully with a little bit of hydrochloric acid (1,2 mol·l<sup>-1</sup>).

**3.3.3.5** After adding 1 ml diammonium hydrogen citrate solution (1 mol·l<sup>-1</sup>), the pH is adjusted to a value from 8 to 9 with approx. 2 ml ammonia solution (13,5 mol·l<sup>-1</sup>).

ISSN 1865-8725

Version July 2023

**3.3.3.6** If turbidity occurs in the solution, the solution must be filtered again through a white band filter. Then rinse the beaker with approx. 2 ml to 5 ml of a basic buffer solution (pH value 8 to 9, see Section 8.1). The filter with the residue is discarded.

#### Note:

The turbidity consists of hydroxides of interfering metal ions that have not formed amine complexes. These would clog the NI column used below.

**3.3.3.7** The NI column is conditioned with 5 ml of a basic buffer solution (pH value 8 to 9, see Section 8.1).

**3.3.3.8** The solution from Step 3.3.3.3 or 3.3.3.6 is applied to the conditioned NI-column. The nickel-DMG complex precipitating on the column can be recognised after a short time as a red band (see Figure 3). The solution that has run through is discarded.

#### Note:

The column capacity is about 3 mg Ni<sup>2+</sup>; if the nickel content in the solution is higher, the solution must be divided into several NI-columns. As the nickel-DMG complex precipitates on the carrier material, the solution may run through slowly. Therefore, the column may only be operated without pressure. Each NI-column may only be used once.



Fig. 3: View of the nickel-DMG complex as a red band on the NI-column

**3.3.3.9** The column is then washed with 20 ml basic buffer solution. The wash solution is discarded.

#### Note:

If high levels of radioactive cobalt isotopes are expected, wash with approx. 60 ml of basic buffer solution until the wash solution is colourless (see Section 4.3).

**3.3.3.10** The nickel-DMG complex is destroyed by adding 10 ml nitric acid (1,5 mol· $l^{-1}$ ); the released Ni<sup>2+</sup> ions are eluted. The eluate is collected in a 20 ml counting vial.

**3.3.3.11** An aliquot of 1 ml from the eluate is transferred to a 50 ml volumetric flask and filled with deionised water. This solution is used to determine the chemical yield of nickel.

**3.3.3.12** Add 12 ml of scintillation cocktail to the remaining eluate and mix by shaking.

## 4 Measuring the activity

## 4.1 General

## 4.1.1 Measurement of iron-55

The activity of Fe-55 is determined by measuring the low-energy X-rays ( $K_{\alpha}$ : 5,9 keV and  $K_{\beta}$ : 6,5 keV) in an LSC in an energy range from 0 keV to 6 keV. The energy range from 6 keV to 2 000 keV should also be recorded and compared with the corresponding energy range of the measured blank source (see Section 4.3) in order to be able to recognise impurities of interfering radionuclides (see Section 4.4). The pulse height distribution is shown in Figure 4 using the example of an Fe-55 counting source.

#### Note:

The LSC measurement of Fe-55 activity is interfered by the presence of Fe-59, as both emission spectra overlap. The Fe-59 component must be subtracted from the total count rate. The proportion of the count rate originating from Fe-59 in the total count rate in the energy range of Fe-55 depends on the Fe-59 activity and is approx. 15 % if comparable Fe-55 and Fe-59 activities are present in the counting source. A more precise estimate is possible by linear deconvolution of the overlapping LSC spectra. However, the interference can also be minimised by adjusting the Fe-55 energy range.



**Fig. 4:** LSC spectra of an Fe-55 counting source and a co-measured background in the energy range from 0 keV to 6 keV.

## 4.1.2 Measurement of nickel-63

The activity of Ni-63 is determined by measuring the beta radiation with a maximum beta energy  $E_{\beta,\text{max}}$  of 67 keV in an LSC in the energy range from 0 keV to 30 keV. The pulse height distribution is shown in Figure 5 using the example of a Ni-63 counting source.

ISSN 1865-8725

Procedures' Manual for monitoring of radioactive substances in the environment and of external radiation (Messanleitungen für die "Überwachung radioaktiver Stoffe in der Umwelt und externer Strahlung")



**Fig. 5:** LSC spectra of a Ni-63 counting source and a co-measured background in the energy range from 0 keV to 30 keV or from 0 keV to 9,5 keV at optimum *FoM*.

To optimise the evaluation, the energy range of interest should be selected so that the figure of merit (*FoM*) of a co-measured LSC calibration source of known activity is maximised. Equation (1) applies here:

$$FoM = \frac{\varepsilon_{\rm r}^2}{R_0} = \frac{\left(R_{\rm g,Std} - R_0\right)^2}{A_{\rm Std}^2 \cdot R_0}$$
(1)

Herein are:

 $A_{\rm Std}$  activity of the standard solution used, in Bq;

 $R_{g,Std}$  gross count rate of the LSC calibration source, in s<sup>-1</sup>;

 $R_0$  background count rate, in s<sup>-1</sup>;

 $\varepsilon_r$  detection efficiency for the radionuclide r, in Bq<sup>-1</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup>.

#### Note:

Depending on the sample composition, the scintillation cocktail and the measuring device, further optimisation of the energy range based on the *FoM* may be necessary.

## 4.2 Calibration

Calibration sources with different activity concentrations whose activities are traceable to an Fe-55 or Ni-63 activity standard are used for calibration. The calibration sources are prepared in the same way as the counting sources (see Steps 3.3.2.8 or 3.3.3.12).

In LSC measurements, for example, impurities in the counting source cause a shift in the pulse height spectrum to lower and lower energies, resulting in a decrease in detection efficiency. This effect is known as quenching [7, 8]. A quench curve is recorded to correct

ISSN 1865-8725

Procedures' Manual for monitoring of radioactive substances in the environment and of external radiation (Messanleitungen für die "Überwachung radioaktiver Stoffe in der Umwelt und externer Strahlung")

for this effect. For this purpose, the concentration of the radionuclide of interest is systematically increased by adding quenching substances such as nitromethane or carbon tetrachloride in the volume range from  $0 \mu l$  to  $30 \mu l$  to the calibration sources while keeping their activity constant. The calibration sources are measured with the energy range settings described in Section 4.1, whereby the manufacturer-specific quench parameter, e. g. *tSIE*, is determined automatically.

The detection efficiency of the radionuclide of interest,  $\varepsilon_r$ , is determined as a function of the quench parameter as a regression polynomial. These functions for determining the Fe-55 and Ni-63 activity are shown graphically in Figures 6 and 7. The activity-related calibration factor for the radionuclide r,  $\varphi_{A,r}$ , is calculated according to Equation (2).

$$\varphi_{A,r} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_r} \tag{2}$$

For the quench parameter *tSIE* considered here, the detection efficiency is calculated according to Equation (3), whereby a polynomial function (here second degree) with the coefficients  $v_1$ ,  $v_2$  and  $v_3$  is fitted to the measurement data.

$$\varepsilon_{\rm r} = v_1 + v_2 \cdot tSIE + v_3 \cdot tSIE^2 \tag{3}$$



**Fig. 6:** Detection efficiency for iron-55,  $\varepsilon_{\text{Fe-55}}$ , as a function of the device-specific quench parameter *tSIE*.

ISSN 1865-8725

Version July 2023



**Fig. 7:** Detection efficiency for nickel-63,  $\varepsilon_{\text{Ni-63}}$ , as a function of the device-specific quench parameter *tSIE*.

With the usual quench values

- for the measurement of the Fe-55 activity of tSIE = 300 and
- for the measurement of the Ni-63 activity of tSIE = 200

the calibration factors  $\varphi_{A,Fe-55}$  of 2,78 Bq·s and  $\varphi_{A,Ni-63}$  of 1,73 Bq·s result.

#### 4.3 Measurement

The activities of iron-55 or nickel-63 in the corresponding counting sources are measured with an LSC, usually for 6 000 seconds, after the chemiluminescence has decayed for at least three hours in the dark and in the cool, ideally in the sample chamber of the measuring device. Similar blank sources are also measured in each series of measurements.

#### Note:

The eluate used for measurement must be decolourised according to Step 3.3.2.8, otherwise a very high colour quench will occur. After the addition of scintillation cocktail, chemiluminescence often disappears after three hours, but in the case of Fe-55 counting sources it may only have decayed to a negligible level in the energy range from 0 keV to 200 keV after approx. 20 hours (see Section 4.1).

ISSN 1865-8725

Version July 2023

If the LSC has an automatic luminescence correction, this option must be used. If this option is not available, the decaying luminescence can only be recognised by repeat measurements.

## 4.4 Interferences

Both the chemical separation and the LSC measurement can be interfered by high cobalt concentrations above 30 mg $\cdot$ l<sup>-1</sup> as well as by the presence of iron-59 and beta-emitting actinides.

## 4.4.1 Interference of nickel determination by iron and cobalt

The nickel determination is interfered by the formation of DMG complexes of  $Fe^{2+}$  (coloured red) or  $Co^{2+}$  (coloured brown) if the separation of the iron isotopes is incomplete and/or in the case of high cobalt concentrations. In contrast to the Ni-DMG complex, which precipitates on the column, these complexes are soluble in aqueous diammonium hydrogen citrate solution. A larger volume of wash water may be required to completely wash out these complexes (see Step 3.3.3.9). To check the quality of the cobalt separation, the pulse height spectrum in the energy range from 30 keV to 2 000 keV is analysed. In this energy range, the count rate must correspond to that of the measured background spectrum.

#### 4.4.2 Interference in iron determination by beta-emitting actinides

In the determination of Fe-55, beta-emitting actinides such as Pu-241 interfere, since tetravalent plutonium and thorium ions as well as uranyl ions in hydrochloric acid solution in the TRU-column show a similar retention behaviour as trivalent iron ions [2, 4]. For this reason, nitric acid (1 mol·l<sup>-1</sup>) is used for the elution in step 3.3.2.6 instead of hydrochloric acid (0,2 mol·l<sup>-1</sup>). The actinides remain on the TRU-column and the iron ions are washed out. Nevertheless, if Pu-241 or Th-234 are present in the Fe-55 counting source, their emitted beta radiation is also registered in the Fe-55 energy range. To check the quality of the actinide separation, the pulse height spectrum is analysed in the energy range from 6 keV to 2 000 keV. In this energy range, the count rate must correspond to that of the measured background spectrum.

#### Note:

The LSC measurement of the iron eluate is carried out as described in Section 4.1. Changing from hydrochloric acid to nitric acid increases the quench and reduces the detection efficiency.

ISSN 1865-8725

Version July 2023

## 5 Calculation of the results

## 5.1 Output quantity

The activity concentration for the radionuclide r of interest is calculated according to Equation (4)

$$c_{\rm r} = \varphi_{\rm r} \cdot R_{\rm n,r} = \varphi_{\rm r} \cdot \left( R_{\rm g,r} - R_0 \right) \tag{4}$$

with

$$\varphi_{\rm r} = \frac{\varphi_{A,\rm r}}{\eta_{\rm e}} \cdot \frac{V_{\rm E}}{V_{\rm P} \cdot V_{\rm M}} \cdot f_1 \tag{5}$$

The chemical yield of iron or nickel,  $\eta_e$ , is obtained with the volumes used in this procedure for the removal of the aliquots and their replenishment according to Equation (6):

$$\eta_{\rm e} = \frac{c_{\rm E,e}}{c_{\rm 0,e}} \tag{6}$$

The decay correction factor  $f_1$  is calculated according to Equation (7):

$$f_1 = e^{\ln 2 \cdot \frac{t_A}{t_r}} \tag{7}$$

In the Equations (4) to (7) are:

*c*<sub>r</sub> activity concentration of the radionuclide r, in Bq;

- $c_{\rm E,e}$  concentration of the element of interest, e, in the diluted eluate, in mg·l<sup>-1</sup>;
- $c_{0,e}$  concentration of the element of interest, e, in the diluted starting solution before the separation process, in mg·l<sup>-1</sup>;
- $f_1$  decay correction factor for the period between reference time and start of measurement;
- $R_{g,r}$  gross count rate of the radionuclide r, in s<sup>-1</sup>;
- $R_{n,r}$  net count rate of the radionuclide r, in s<sup>-1</sup>;
- $R_0$  background count rate, in s<sup>-1</sup>;
- *t*<sub>A</sub> time period between reference date and start of measurement, in s;
- $t_{\rm r}$  half-life of the radionuclide r, in s;
- $V_{\rm E}$  volume of the eluate, in I;
- $V_{\rm M}$  volume of the aliquot of the eluate for the preparation of the counting source, in I;
- $V_{\rm P}$  sample volume used for the chemical separation process, in I;
- $\eta_{e}$  chemical yield of the element e;

ISSN 1865-8725

Version July 2023

 $\varphi_{A,r}$  activity-related calibration factor of the radionuclide r, in Bq·s;

 $\varphi_{\rm r}$  procedural calibration factor of the radionuclide r, in Bq·s·l<sup>-1</sup>.

#### 5.2 Standard uncertainty of the output quantity

Uncertainty contributions arising from sampling are not taken into account in the framework of this Procedures' Manual, as these can depend on many different and often not quantifiable factors.

The combined relative standard uncertainties of the activity concentrations of Fe-55 and Ni-63 are calculated according to Equation (8):

$$u_{\rm rel}(c_{\rm r}) = \frac{u(c_{\rm r})}{c_{\rm r}} = \sqrt{u_{\rm rel}^2(R_{\rm n,r}) + u_{\rm rel}^2(\varphi_{\rm r})}$$
(8)

The relative standard uncertainty of the net count rate,  $u_{rel}(R_{n,r})$ , is calculated according to Equation (9):

$$u_{\rm rel}(R_{\rm n,r}) = \frac{u(R_{\rm n,r})}{R_{\rm n,r}} = \frac{1}{R_{\rm n,r}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{R_{\rm g,r}}{t_{\rm m}} + \frac{R_0}{t_0}}$$
(9)

#### Note:

The contribution of  $u_{rel}(R_{n,r})$  to the overall uncertainty of the activity concentration only plays a significant role at low count rates or short measurement durations.

The relative standard uncertainty of the procedural calibration factor as carrier of the type B uncertainties is determined according to Equation (10):

$$u_{\rm rel}(\varphi_{\rm r}) = \frac{u(\varphi_{\rm r})}{\varphi_{\rm r}} = \sqrt{u_{\rm rel}^2(\varphi_{A,\rm r}) + u_{\rm rel}^2(\eta_{\rm e}) + u_{\rm rel}^2(f_1) + \sum_j u_{\rm rel}^2(V_j)}$$
(10)

and

$$u_{\rm rel}(f_1) = \frac{u(f_1)}{f_1} = \frac{\ln 2}{t_{\rm r}} \cdot \sqrt{u^2(t_{\rm A}) + \left[u(t_{\rm r}) \cdot \frac{t_{\rm A}}{t_{\rm r}}\right]^2}$$
(11)

In Equations (8) to (11) are:

*t*<sub>m</sub> duration of counting source measurement, in s;

*t*<sub>0</sub> duration of background measurement, in s;

- *u*(*x*) standard uncertainty of any variable *x* or a tabulated constant, in the respective unit;
- $u_{\rm rel}(x)$  relative standard uncertainty of any quantity x or a tabulated constant.

ISSN 1865-8725

Procedures' Manual for monitoring of radioactive substances in the environment and of external radiation (Messanleitungen für die "Überwachung radioaktiver Stoffe in der Umwelt und externer Strahlung")

When considering the uncertainties of the analysis result, it should be noted:

- The contribution of the relative standard uncertainty of the net count rate to the overall uncertainty of the activity concentration only plays a significant role at low count rates or short measurement durations.
- The relative standard uncertainties of the calibration factors result from the statistical parameters of the regression (calculation of the counting yield functions) or are estimated on the basis of repeated calibrations.
- The relative standard uncertainty of the chemical yield already takes into account the uncertainties of pipetting and dilution processes.
- The absolute standard uncertainties of the volume data, e.g. for pipettes and measuring vessels, correspond to the manufacturers' specifications.
- The standard uncertainty of the decay correction factor  $f_1$  is taken into account if the time span between the reference date and the start of measurement,  $t_A$ , comprises several half-lives of the radionuclide of interest. The uncertainty of  $t_A$  depends on the accuracy of the reference and measurement times.
- The uncertainty of the half-life of the radionuclide of interest is taken from the literature [9].
- The standard uncertainty of the measurement time is generally negligible.

## 6 Characteristic limits of the procedure

The calculation of the characteristic limits follows the standard series ISO 11929 [10]. Further considerations are to be found in the General Chapter CHAGR-ISO-01 of this Procedures' Manual [11].

#### 6.1 Decision threshold

The decision threshold of the activity concentrations of the radionuclides of interest,  $c_r^*$ , is calculated according to Equation (12):

$$c_{\rm r}^* = k_{1-\alpha} \cdot \varphi_{\rm r} \cdot \sqrt{R_0 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{t_{\rm m}} + \frac{1}{t_0}\right)} \tag{12}$$

Where  $k_{1-\alpha}$  is the quantile of the standardised normal distribution for the first type of error. For measurements according to [1], the value is 1,645.

#### Note:

The quantile  $k_{1-\alpha}$  must be adapted to the measurement purpose [11].

ISSN 1865-8725

Version July 2023

#### 6.2 Detection limit

The detection limit of the activity concentrations of the radionuclides of interest,  $c_r^{\#}$ , is determined according to Equation (13):

$$c_{\rm r}^{\#} = c_{\rm r}^{*} + k_{1-\beta} \cdot \sqrt{[c^{\#} \cdot u_{\rm rel}(\varphi_{\rm r})]^2 + \varphi_{\rm r}^2 \cdot \left[\frac{c_{\rm r}^{\#}}{t_{\rm m} \cdot \varphi_{\rm r}} + R_0 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{t_{\rm m}} + \frac{1}{t_0}\right)\right]}$$
(13)

Equation (13), which can be solved iteratively, can be transformed into the explicit Equation (14) after squaring and applying the solution formula for quadratic equations.

$$c_{\rm r}^{\#} = \frac{c_{\rm r}^* \cdot \psi}{\theta} + \left[1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{\theta}{\psi^2} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{k_{1-\beta}^2}{k_{1-\alpha}^2}\right)}\right]$$
(14)

The auxiliary quantities used in Equation (14) are composed as follows:

$$\theta = 1 - k_{1-\beta}^2 \cdot u_{\rm rel}^2(\varphi_{\rm r}) \tag{15}$$

$$\psi = 1 + \frac{k_{1-\beta}^2}{2 \cdot c_r^*} \cdot \frac{\varphi_r}{t_m}$$
(16)

Where  $k_{1-\beta}$  is the quantile of the standardised normal distribution for the second type of error. The value is usually 1,645 [1, 11].

#### 6.3 Limits of the coverage interval

In this procedure, the limits of the probabilistic symmetric coverage interval are used [1].

For the limits of the coverage interval for a probability  $1 - \gamma$ , the probabilities for the quantiles of the lower and upper coverage interval are first calculated according to Equations (17) and (18):

$$p = \omega \left(\frac{c_{\rm r}}{u(c_{\rm r})}\right) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\gamma}{2}\right) \tag{17}$$

$$q = 1 - \omega \left(\frac{c_{\rm r}}{u(c_{\rm r})}\right) \cdot \frac{\gamma}{2} \tag{18}$$

For measurements according to [1], the value 0,9 is used for  $1 - \gamma$ .

The lower and upper limits of the coverage interval are then determined according to Equations (19) and (20):

ISSN 1865-8725

Procedures' Manual for monitoring of radioactive substances in the environment and of external radiation (Messanleitungen für die "Überwachung radioaktiver Stoffe in der Umwelt und externer Strahlung")

$c_{\rm r}^{\triangleleft} = c_{\rm r} - k_p \cdot u(c_{\rm r})$	(19)
$c_{\rm r}^{\triangleleft} = c_{\rm r} - k_p \cdot u(c_{\rm r})$	(19)

$$c_{\rm r}^{\triangleright} = c_{\rm r} + k_a \cdot u(c_{\rm r}) \tag{20}$$

In the Equations (17) to (20) are:

р	probability for the	quantile of the l	ower limit of the co	verage interval;
---	---------------------	-------------------	----------------------	------------------

- *q* probability for the quantile of the upper limit of the coverage interval;
- $k_p$  quantile of the standardised normal distribution for probability p;
- $k_q$  quantile of the standardised normal distribution for probability q;
- $c_r^{\triangleleft}$  lower limit of the coverage interval of the activity concentration, in Bq·l<sup>-1</sup>;
- $c_r^{\triangleright}$  upper limit of the coverage interval of the activity concentration, in Bq·l<sup>-1</sup>.

## 7 Worked examples

The evaluation can be carried out either manually (see Section 7.1) or software supported by Excel<sup>®</sup> or by the software UncertRadio (see Section 7.2). An Excel<sup>®</sup> spreadsheet as well as a project file for the software UncertRadio are available on the website of this Procedures' Manual.

## 7.1 Manual evaluation

In the manual evaluation, the interim results and the result are given rounded with four significant digits.

In the following, the calculation of the Fe-55 activity concentration, the associated standard uncertainty and the characteristic limits is carried out using the following numerical values as an example.

R <sub>g</sub>	=	0,475 s⁻¹;	$R_0$	=	0,08 s⁻¹;
t <sub>m</sub>	=	6 000 s;	$t_0$	=	6 000 s;
$t_{\mathrm{A}}$	=	24,192·10 <sup>6</sup> s;	$u(t_{\rm A})$	=	86,4·10 <sup>3</sup> s;
$t_{\rm Fe-55}$	=	86,69·10 <sup>6</sup> s;	$u(t_{\rm Fe-55})$	=	0,25·10 <sup>6</sup> s;
$V_{\rm E}$	=	10·10 <sup>-3</sup> l;	$u(V_{\rm E})$	=	0,2·10 <sup>-3</sup> l;
$V_{\mathrm{M}}$	=	5·10 <sup>-3</sup> l;	$u(V_{\rm M})$	=	0,1·10 <sup>-3</sup> l;
VP	=	0,25 l;	$u(V_{\rm P})$	=	2·10 <sup>-3</sup> l;
<i>c</i> <sub>E,Fe-55</sub>	=	1,92 mg·l <sup>-1</sup> ;	C <sub>0,Fe-55</sub>	=	2,00 mg·l⁻¹;
<i>v</i> <sub>1</sub>	=	-9,86·10 <sup>-2</sup> ;	tSIE	=	300;
<i>v</i> <sub>2</sub>	=	1,42·10 <sup>-3</sup> ;	$u_{\rm rel}(\eta_{\rm Fe})$	=	0,05;
<i>v</i> <sub>3</sub>	=	-5,48·10 <sup>-7</sup> ;	$u_{\mathrm{rel}}(\varphi_{A,\mathrm{Fe}-55})$	=	0,1.

ISSN 1865-8725

Version July 2023

The activity-related calibration factor for the radionuclide Fe-55 is calculated according to Equation (2), the chemical yield for iron according to Equation (6) and the decay correction factor according to Equation (7).

$$\varphi_{A,Fe-55} = \frac{1}{-9,86 \cdot 10^{-2} + (1,42 \cdot 10^{-3} \cdot 300) + (-5,48 \cdot 10^{-7} \cdot 300^2)} \approx 3,596$$
$$\eta_{Fe} = \frac{1,92 \text{ mg} \cdot l^{-1}}{2,00 \text{ mg} \cdot l^{-1}} = 0,96$$
$$f_1 = e^{\ln 2 \cdot \frac{24,192 \cdot 10^6 \text{ s}}{86,89 \cdot 10^6 \text{ s}}} \approx 1,213$$

The procedural calibration factor is determined according to Equation (5):

$$\varphi_{\text{Fe}-55} \approx \frac{3,596}{0,96} \cdot \frac{10 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ l}}{0,25 \text{ l} \cdot 5 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ l}} \cdot 1,213 \approx 36,35 \text{ l}^{-1}$$

This gives the activity concentration of Fe-55 according to Equation (4):

$$c_{\text{Fe}-55} \approx 36,35 \,\text{l}^{-1} \cdot (0,475 \,\text{s}^{-1} - 0,08 \,\text{s}^{-1}) \approx 14,36 \,\text{Bq} \cdot \text{l}^{-1}$$

For the calculation of the combined standard uncertainty, the value of the relative standard uncertainties of the net count rate is calculated according to Equation (9):

$$u_{\rm rel}(R_{\rm n,r}) = \frac{1}{0.475 \, {\rm s}^{-1} - 0.08 \, {\rm s}^{-1}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{0.475 \, {\rm s}^{-1}}{6000 \, {\rm s}}} + \frac{0.08 \, {\rm s}^{-1}}{6000 \, {\rm s}}} \approx 0.0243$$

Using the values for the relative standard uncertainty according to Equation (11) and the relative standard uncertainties for the volumes used

$$u_{\rm rel}(f_1) = \frac{\ln 2}{86,69 \cdot 10^6 \,\mathrm{s}} \cdot \sqrt{(86,4 \cdot 10^3 \,\mathrm{s})^2 + \left(0,25 \cdot 10^6 \,\mathrm{s} \cdot \frac{24,192 \cdot 10^6 \,\mathrm{s}}{86,69 \cdot 10^6 \,\mathrm{s}}\right)^2} \approx 8,879 \cdot 10^{-4}$$
$$\sum_j u_{\rm rel}^2(V_j) = \left(\frac{0,2 \cdot 10^{-3} \,\mathrm{l}}{10 \cdot 10^{-3} \,\mathrm{l}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{0,1 \cdot 10^{-3} \,\mathrm{l}}{5 \cdot 10^{-3} \,\mathrm{l}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{2 \cdot 10^{-3} \,\mathrm{l}}{0,25 \,\mathrm{l}}\right)^2 \approx 0,864 \cdot 10^{-3}$$

ISSN 1865-8725

Version July 2023

the relative standard uncertainty of the procedural calibration factor is determined according to Equation (10):

$$u_{\rm rel}(\varphi_{\rm Fe-55}) = \sqrt{0.1^2 + 0.05^2 + (8.879 \cdot 10^{-4})^2 + 0.864 \cdot 10^{-3}} \approx 0.1156$$

With these values and after conversion of Equation (8), the standard uncertainty of the activity concentration is determined as follows:

$$u(c_{\text{Fe}-55}) \approx 14,36 \text{ Bq} \cdot l^{-1} \cdot \sqrt{0,0243^2 + 0,1156} \approx 1,697 \text{ Bq} \cdot l^{-1}$$

The Fe-55 activity concentration in the sample is thus:

$$c_{\rm Fe-55} \approx (14,36 \pm 1,697) \, {\rm Bq} \cdot {\rm l}^{-1}$$

The decision threshold of the Fe-55 activity concentration is calculated using the value for the quantile of the standardised normal distribution for the first type of error  $k_{1-\alpha} = 1,645$  according to Equation (12):

$$c_{\text{Fe}-55}^* \approx 1,645 \cdot 36,35 \ \text{l}^{-1} \cdot \sqrt{0,08 \ \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{6000 \ \text{s}} + \frac{1}{6000 \ \text{s}}\right)} \approx 0,3088 \ \text{Bq} \cdot \text{l}^{-1}$$

Using the value for the quantile of the standardised normal distribution for the second type of error  $k_{1-\beta} = 1,645$  and the values for the auxiliary quantities  $\theta$  and  $\Psi$  determined according to Equations (15) and (16)

$$\theta \approx 1 - 1,645^2 \cdot 0,1156^2 \approx 0,9638$$

$$\psi \approx 1 + \frac{1,645^2}{2 \cdot 0,3088 \text{ Bg} \cdot l^{-1}} \cdot \frac{36,35 \, l^{-1}}{6000 \, \text{s}} \approx 1,027$$

the detection limit can be calculated according to Equation (14):

$$c_{\text{Fe}-55}^{\#} \approx \frac{0,3088 \text{ Bq} \cdot l^{-1} \cdot 1,027}{0,9638} \cdot \left[ 1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{0,9638}{1,015^2} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1,645^2}{1,645^2}\right)} \right] \approx 0,6580 \text{ Bq} \cdot l^{-1}$$

To calculate the limits of the probabilistically symmetrical coverage interval, the probabilities for the quantiles of the lower and upper coverage intervals are calculated according to Equations (17) and (18):

ISSN 1865-8725

Procedures' Manual for monitoring of radioactive substances in the environment and of external radiation (Messanleitungen für die "Überwachung radioaktiver Stoffe in der Umwelt und externer Strahlung")

$$p \approx \omega \left(\frac{14,36 \text{ Bq} \cdot l^{-1}}{1,697 \text{ Bq} \cdot l^{-1}}\right) \cdot 0,95 \approx 0,9499$$
$$q \approx 1 - \omega \left(\frac{14,36 \text{ Bq} \cdot l^{-1}}{1,697 \text{ Bq} \cdot l^{-1}}\right) \cdot 0,05 \approx 0,950$$

The following values are determined for the limits of the probabilistically symmetrical coverage interval according to Equations (19) and (20):

$$c_{\text{Fe}-55}^{\lhd} \approx 14,36 \text{ Bq} \cdot l^{-1} - 1,6448 \cdot 1,697 \text{ Bq} \cdot l^{-1} \approx 11,57 \text{ Bq} \cdot l^{-1}$$

 $c^{\triangleright}_{\text{Fe}-55} \approx 14,36 \text{ Bq} \cdot l^{-1} + 1,6449 \cdot 1,697 \text{ Bq} \cdot l^{-1} \approx 17,15 \text{ Bq} \cdot l^{-1}$ 

Procedure for determining the activity concentrations of iron-55 and nickel-63 in wastewater

#### 7.2 Software supported evaluation

#### 7.2.1 View of the Excel<sup>®</sup> spreadsheet

H-Fe-55/Ni-63-AWASS-01					Version	July 2023		
Procedures' manual for monitoring of radioa	ictive subst	ances in the envi	ronment and of	external radiation	on (ISSN 1865-8	3725)		
SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION:	wastewa	ter			ANALYTE:	Fe-55		
#Number of input quantities	1	1			User-Input:	definition qua	antities/Exc	el variables
k_alpha	1,64	15	Create Excel			Input of Exce	formulae	
k_beta	1,64	15	variables!			Input of value	es of quant	ities
gamma	0	,1			Excel-VBA:	#Keywords		
						Values from V	/basic	
					UNCERTAINT	Y BUDGET		
#Values of input quantities	Unit	Excel variable	Input values	StdDev	nartial	uncertainty	budget	-
"values of input quantities	Onic	Excervariable	input values	Stuber	derivatives	budget:	in %	
1 #Number of gross counts Ng		Na	2850	53 385	0.006060293	0 32353111		3 63547218
a duration of counting course macurement	<i>c</i>	tm	6000	55,502	0,000000255	0,52555111	, ,	5,05547210
2 background count rate	5 1/c	RO DO	0.00	2 6515 03	26 2617570	0 12277426	,	0 61220005
A duration of background monouroment	1/5	KU +0	0,00	5,0512-03	-30,301/3/0	0,15277450	,	0,01229005
4 duration of background measurement	S	tU AF-	6000		2 20 405 00		,	
5 hair time iron	5	_tre	8,669E+07	U	-3,2048E-08		,	
6 time period ref. date to start of measuremen	ts	tA	2,419E+07	L L	1,14841E-07		)	
/ volume eluate	1	VE	1,000E-02	2,000E-04	1436,289433	0,28725788		2,86597666
8 volume aliquot eluate	1	VM	5,000E-03	1,000E-04	-2872,57599	0,287257599	9	2,86597092
9 sample volume	I	VP	0,25	2,000E-03	-57,4515199	0,11490304	1	0,45855534
10 chemical yield		_eta	0,96	4,800E-02	-14,9613333	0,718143999	)	17,9123183
11activity-related calibration factor (List can be continued here)	Bq*s	_phiA	3,596	3,596E-01	3,994130794	1,43628943	3	71,6494165
MODEL SECTION		Result = phi	* Rn					
Derived quantities		•	(Formulae)					
1 #Gross count rate Rg	1/s	Ra	0.475					
2 Decay correction factor iron	,.	f1	1.2134					
(List can be continued here)								
#Net count rate Rn	1/s	Rn	0,3950	<mark>)</mark>				
#Calibration factor, proc.dep.	Bq*s/l	phi	36,362	2				
#Value output quantity	Bq/l	Result	14,3629	0,65796138	< output val	ue modifiable	by VBA	
#Standard uncertainty output quantity	Bq/l	uResult	1,6968	3	-			
#Decision threshold	Bq/l		0,3089	)				
#Detection limit	Bq/l		0,6580	)				
FURTHER DERIVED QUANTITIES					Calcul	ate!		
Auxiliary quantity Omega		Omega	1,0000	)				
Best estimate	Ba/l	BestEst	14,3629	,				
Standard uncertainty best estimate	Ba/l		1,6968					
Coverage interval (lower limit)	Ba/l		11.5710	)				
Coverage interval (upper limit)	Ba/l		17 15 20					
coreiuge interrai (apper inint)	04/1		17,1555					

The corresponding Excel<sup>®</sup> spreadsheet is available on the website of this Procedures' Manual.

ISSN 1865-8725

Version July 2023

#### 7.2.2 View of the UncertRadio result page

UncertRadio: Calculation of uncertainty budget and detection	on limits - H-Fe-55+Ni-63-AWASS-01	V2023-07 EN.txp	- 🗆 X
File Edit Options Help			
			Help Save to csv
Procedure Equations Values, Uncertain	ities Uncertainty budget	Results lext Editor	
Final measurement result for c : Value output quantity: 14.357	Bq/l	Coverage factor k: 1.0 Probability (1-gamma): 0.90	
extendend (Std)uncertainty: 1.6961	Bq/I	Decision threshold and detection I	imit for c :
relative ext.(Std)uncertainty: <mark>11.814</mark>	%	Decision threshold (DT): 0.3087	Bq/l Iterations: 1
Best Bayesian Estimates:	🗆 min. Coverage interval	Detection limit (DL): 0.6576	Bq/l Iterations: 4
Value output quantity: 14.357	Bq/l		
extendend (Std)uncertainty: 1.6961	Bq/l	k_alpha=1.645, k_beta=1.645	Method: ISO 11929:2019, by
lower range limit: 11.567	Bq/I		teration
upper range limit: 17.146	Bq/l		
Monte Carlo Simulation:			
Number of simul. measurments 100000	min. Coverage interv	/ai	
	relSD%		
primary estimate: 14,402	Bq/I 0.038		
uncertainty primary estimate: 1.7122	Bq/I 0.224		
Value output quantity: 14,402	Bq/l 0.038		
extendend uncertainty: 1.7122	Bq/l 0.224		
relative extd.(Std)uncertainty: 11.889	%		
lower range limit: 11.686	Bq/l <mark>0.098</mark>		
upper range limit: <mark>17.293</mark>	Bq/l <mark>0.066</mark>		
Decision threshold (DT): 0.31406	Bq/l <mark>0.406</mark>		
Detection limit (DL): 0.65037	Bq/l 0.295		
active run: 1	IT: 10 Start MC		

The corresponding UncertRadio project file is available on the website of this Procedures' Manual.

## 8 Catalogue of the chemicals und equipment

#### 8.1 Chemicals

The chemicals used should be of analytically pure quality.

—	ammonia solution, $NH_{3:}$	13,5 mol·l <sup>-1</sup> (25 %);
	basic buffer solution:	0,2 mol·l <sup>-1</sup> :
		diammonium hydrogen citrate solution (1 mol·l <sup>-1</sup> ) adjusted to pH 8,5 with ammonia solution (13,5 mol·l <sup>-1</sup> );
—	caesium fluoride solution, CsF:	6,6 mol·l <sup>-1</sup> ;
	diammonium hydrogen citrate	
	solution:	1 mol·l <sup>-1</sup> ;
	Fe-carrier solution:	1 mg Fe <sup>3+</sup> per ml solution:
		2,42 g iron(III) chloride hexahydrate (FeCl <sub>3</sub> $\cdot$ 6 H <sub>2</sub> O) in 500 ml nitric acid (0,1 mol $\cdot$ l <sup>-1</sup> );
	hydrochloric acid, HCl:	10 mol·l <sup>-1</sup> , 8 mol·l <sup>-1</sup> , 1,2 mol·l <sup>-1</sup> , 0,2 mol·l <sup>-1</sup> ;

ISSN 1865-8725

Version July 2023

	hydrogen peroxide, H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> :	9 mol·l <sup>-1</sup> (30 %) in water.
	NI-Resin:	prefabricated column with 2 ml column bed volume (corresponding to 0,5 g resin);
	Ni-carrier solution:	1 mg Ni <sup>2+</sup> per ml solution:
		0,81 g nickel(II) chloride hexahydrate (NiCl <sub>2</sub> $\cdot$ 6 H <sub>2</sub> O) in 200 ml hydrochloric acid (0,1 mol $\cdot$ I <sup>-1</sup> );
	nitric acid, HNO3:	14 mol·l <sup>-1</sup> , 1,5 mol·l <sup>-1</sup> ;
	potassium hydroxide solution,	
	KOH:	10 mol·l <sup>-1</sup> ;
	scintillation cocktail:	e. g. Quicksafe A, Insta Gel Plus;
	sulphuric acid, H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> :	18 mol·l <sup>-1</sup> ;
—	TRU-Resin:	prefabricated column with 2 ml column bed volume (corresponding to 0,7 g resin);

## 8.2 Equipment

The following equipment is used for the procedure:

- standard equipment of a radiochemistry laboratory;
- optical emission spectrometer with inductively coupled plasma (ICP-OES) or flame atomic absorption spectrometer (AAS);
- counting vials with a volume of 20 ml;
- liquid scintillation spectrometer (LSC).

## References

- [1] KTA 1504:2022, Monitoring and Assessing the Discharge of Radioactive Substances with Water.
- [2] Horwitz, E. P., Chiarizia, R., Dietz, M. L., Diamond, H., Nelson, D. M.: *Separation and preconcentration of actinides from acidic media by extraction chromatography*. Analytica Chimica Acta, 1993, Vol. 281 (2), p. 361-372.
- [3] *Eichrom Ideas*. Eichrom Industries Inc., 1997, Vol. 4, Nr. 1, 6 p.
- [4] Huff, E. A., Huff, D. R.: TRU-Spec and RE-Spec chromatography basic studies and applications. 34<sup>th</sup> ORNL/DOE Conference on Analytical Chemistry in Energy Technology, Gatlinburg (USA), 5.-7. October 1993.
- [5] Eichrom Ideas. Eichrom Industries Inc., 1996, Vol. 3, Nr. 2, 6 p.

ISSN 1865-8725

Procedures' Manual for monitoring of radioactive substances in the environment and of external radiation (Messanleitungen für die "Überwachung radioaktiver Stoffe in der Umwelt und externer Strahlung")

- [6] Hou, X., Dai, X.: Chapter 2 Environmental liquid scintillation analysis. In: L'Annunziata, M. F. (ed.): Handbook of Radioactivity Analysis, Volume 2: Radioanalytical Applications. San Diego (USA): Academic Press, 2020, p. 41-136.
- [7] L'Annunziata, M. F., Taracón, A., Bagán, H., García, J. F.: Chapter 6 Liquid scintillation analysis: principles and practice. In: L'Annunziata, M. F. (ed.): Handbook of Radioactivity Analysis, Volume 1: Radiation Physics and Detectors. San Diego (USA): Academic Press, 2020, p. 575-801.
- [8] Thomson, J., Temple, S.: Chapter 7 Sample preparation techniques for liquid scintillation analysis. In: L'Annunziata, M. F. (ed.): Handbook of Radioactivity Analysis, Volume 1: Radiation Physics and Detectors. San Diego (USA): Academic Press, 2020, p. 803-860.
- [9] Bé, M.-M., Chisté, V., Dulieu, C., Browne, E., Baglin, C., Chechev, V., et al.: *Table of Radionuclides*. Monographie BIPM-5, Vol. 3. Sèvres (F): Bureau International des Poids et Mesures, 2006. ISBN 92-822-2218-7.
- [10] Standard series ISO 11929:2019, Determination of the characteristic limits (decision threshold, detection limit and limits of the coverage interval) for measurements of ionizing radiation Fundamentals and application (Parts 1 to 3).
- [11] Kanisch, G., Aust, M.-O., Bruchertseifer, F., Dalheimer, A., Heckel, A., Hofmann, S., et al.: Bestimmung der charakteristischen Grenzen bei der Aktivitätsbestimmung radioaktiver Stoffe – Teil 1: Grundlagen. Version Mai 2022. In: Bundesministerium für Umwelt, Naturschutz, nukleare Sicherheit und Verbraucherschutz, (ed.): Messanleitungen für die Überwachung radioaktiver Stoffe in der Umwelt und externer Strahlung. ISSN 1865-8725. Available at: <u>https://www.bmuv.de/WS1517</u>. [Last access 27.10.2023].